



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

anecdotes of what occurred within a few days of that statesman's death. The fact is, that a life of conviviality and intemperance seldom favours the cultivation of those better tastes and affections, which are necessary to the existence of intimate friendship. That Mr. Sheridan had as many admirers as acquaintances there is no room to doubt ; but they admired only his astonishing powers ; there never was a second opinion or feeling, as to the unfortunate use which he made of them.

“ We have now performed an honest duty, and in many particulars an humbling and most distressing one we have found it. Never were such gifts as those which Providence showered upon Mr. Sheridan so abused—never were talents so miserably perverted. The term “ greatness ” has been most ridiculously, and, in a moral sense, most perniciously applied to the character of one, who, to speak charitably of him, was the weakest of men. Had he employed his matchless endowments with but ordinary judgment, nothing in England, hardly any thing in Europe, could have eclipsed his name, or obstructed his progress. It is the peculiar praise and glory of our political constitution, that great abilities may emerge from the meanest station and seize the first honors of the community. It is the nobler praise, and purer happiness of our moral system, that great vices throw obstacles before the march of ambition, which no force nor superiority of intellect can remove.”



From the London New Monthly Magazine for August.

THE following *jeu d'esprit* was written by Sir JOSHUA REYNOLDS, to illustrate a remark which he had made—“ That Dr. JOHNSON considered GARRICK as his property, and would never suffer any one to praise or abuse him but himself.” In the first of these supposed dialogues, Sir Joshua himself, by high encomiums upon Garrick, is represented as drawing upon him Johnson's censure ; in the second, M. Gibbon, by taking the opposite side, calls forth his praise.

DR. JOHNSON AND SIR JOSHUA REYNOLDS.

Reynolds. Let me alone, I'll bring him out (*aside.*) I have been thinking, Dr. Johnson, this morning on a matter that has puzzled me very much ; it is a subject that I dare say has often passed in your thoughts, and though I cannot, I dare say *you* have made up your mind upon it.

Johnson. Tilly fally, what is all this preparation—what is all this mighty matter ?

R. Why it is a very weighty matter. The subject I have been thinking upon is---Predestination and Free-will, two things I cannot reconcile together for the life of me ; in my opinion, Dr. Johnson, Free-will and Fore-knowledge cannot be reconciled.

J. It is not of very great importance what your opinion is upon such a question.

R. But I meant only, Dr. J. to know your opinion.---

J. No, Sir ; you meant no such thing : you meant only to shew these gentlemen that you are not the man they took you to be, but that you think of high matters sometimes, and that you may have the credit of having it said, that you held an argument with Sam Johnson on Predestination and Free-will ;---a subject of that magnitude as to have engaged the attention of the world---to have perplexed the wisdom of man for these two thousand years ;---a subject on which the fallen angels, who *had yet not lost all their original brightness*, find themselves *in wandering mazes lost*. That such a subject could be discussed in the levity of a convivial meeting, is a degree of absurdity beyond what is easily conceivable.

R. It is so as you say to be sure ; I talked once to our friend Garrick upon this subject, but I remember we could make nothing of it.

J. O noble pair !

R. Garrick was a clever fellow, Dr. J. ; Garrick take him altogether was certainly a very great man.

J. Garrick, Sir, may be a great man in your opinion, as far as I know, but he was not so in mine ; little things are great to little men.

R. I have heard you say, Dr. Johnson---

J. Sir, you never heard me say that David Garrick was a great man ; you may have heard me say that Garrick was

a good repeater---of other men's words ;--words put into his mouth by other men ; this makes but a faint approach towards being a great man.

R. But take Garrick upon the whole, now, in regard to conversation——

J. Well, Sir, in regard to conversation, I never discovered in the conversation of David Garrick any intellectual energy, any wide grasp of thought, any extensive comprehension of mind, or that he possessed any of those powers to which *great* could, with any degree of propriety, be applied——

R. But still——

J. Hold, Sir, I have not done---there are to be sure in the laxity of colloquial speech, various kinds of greatness ; a man may be a great tobacconist, a man may be a great painter, he may be likewise a great mimick ; now you may be the one, and Garrick the other, and yet neither of you be great men---

R. But, Dr. Johnson——

J. Hold, Sir ; I have often lamented how dangerous it is to investigate and to discriminate character, to men who have no discriminative powers.

R. But Garrick as a companion, I heard you say---no longer ago than last Wednesday, at Mr. Thrale's table---

J. You teaze me, Sir. Whatever you may have heard me say, no longer ago than last Wednesday at Mr. Thrale's table, I tell you I do not say so now ; beside, as I said before, you may not have understood me---you misapprehended me---you may not have heard me.

R. I am very sure I heard you.

J. Besides, besides, Sir, besides---do you not know---are you so ignorant as not to know, that it is the highest degree of rudeness to quote a man against himself?

R. But if you differ from yourself, and give one opinion to-day——

J. Have done, Sir, the company you see are tired, as well as myself.

T^r other side.

DR. JOHNSON AND MR. GIBBON.

Johnson. No, Sir; Garrick's fame was prodigious, not only in England, but all over Europe; even in Russia, I have been told, he was a proverb, when any one had repeated well, he was called a second Garrick.

Gibbon. I think he had full as much reputation as he deserved.

J. I do not pretend to know, Sir, what your meaning may be, by saying he had as much reputation as he deserved. He deserved much, and he had much.

G. Why surely, Dr. Johnson, his merit was in small things only. He had none of those qualities that make a real great man.

J. Sir, I as little understand what your meaning may be, when you speak of the qualities that make a great man: It is a vague term. Garrick was no common man. A man above the common size may surely, without any great impropriety, be called a great man. In my opinion he has very reasonably fulfilled the prophecy which he once reminded me of having made to his mother; when she asked me how little David went on at school, that I should say to her, that he would come to be hanged, or come to be a great man. No, Sir; it is undoubtedly true, that the same qualities united with virtue or vice, make a hero or a rogue; a great general or a highwayman. Now Garrick, we are sure, was never hanged, and in regard to his being a great man, you must take the whole man together.---It must be considered in how many things Garrick excelled, in which every man desires to excell. Setting aside his excellence as an actor, in which he is acknowledged to be unrivalled, as a man, as a poet, as a convivial companion, you will find but few his equals, and none his superiour. As a man he was kind, friendly, benevolent, and generous.

G. Of Garrick's generosity I never heard. I understood his character to be totally the reverse, and that he was reckoned to have loved money.

J. That he loved money nobody will dispute;---who does not? but if you mean by loving money, that he was parsimonious to a fault, Sir, you have been misinformed.

To Foote, and such scoundrels, who circulated those reports---to such profligate spendthrifts prudence is meanness, and economy is avarice. That Garrick in early youth was brought up in strict habits of economy, I believe, and that they were necessary, I have heard from himself. To suppose that Garrick might inadvertently act from this habit, and be saving in small things, can be no wonder ; but let it be remembered at the same time, that, if he was frugal by habit, he was liberal from principle : that when he acted from reflection, he did what his fortune enabled him to do, and what was expected from such a fortune. I remember no instance of David's parsimony but once : when he stopped Mrs. Woffington from replenishing the teapot, it was already, he said, as red as blood ; and this instance is doubtful and happened many years ago. In the latter part of his life I observed no blamable parsimony in David. His table was elegant and even splendid ; his house, both in town and country, his equipage ; and, I think, all his habits of life, were such as might be expected from a man who had acquired great riches. In regard to his generosity, which you seem to question, I shall only say, there is no man to whom I would apply, with more confidence of success, for the loan of two hundred pounds to assist a common friend than to David ; and this too with very little, if any, probability of its being repaid.

G. You were going to say something of him as a writer. You don't rate him very high as a poet.

J. Sir, a man may be a respectable poet, without being a Homer ; as a man may be a good player without being a Garrick. In the lighter kinds of poetry, in the appendages of the drama, he was, *if not the first, in the very first class*. He had a readiness and facility, a dexterity of mind that appeared extraordinary even to men of experience, and who are not apt to wonder from ignorance. Writing prologues, epilogues, and epigrams, he said he considered as his trade ; and he was what a man should be always, at all times, ready at his trade. He required two hours for a prologue or epilogue, and five minutes for an epigram. Once at Burke's table the company proposed a subject, and Garrick finished his epigram within the time. The same experiment was repeated in the garden, and with the same success.

G. Garrick had some flippancy of parts, to be sure, and was brisk and lively in company ; and by help of mimicry and story-telling, made himself a pleasant companion : but here the whole world gave the superiority to Foote, and Garrick himself appears to have felt as if his genius was rebuked by the superiour powers of Foote. It has been often observed, that Garrick never dared to enter into competition with him, but was content to act an underpart to bring Foote out.

J. That this conduct of Garrick might be interpreted by the gross minds of Foote, and his friends, as if he was afraid to encounter him, I can easily imagine. Of the natural superiority of Garrick over Foote, this conduct is an instance : he disdained entering into competition with such a fellow, and made him the buffoon of the company ; or, as you say, brought him out. And what was at last brought out, but coarse jests and vulgar merriment ; indecency and impiety ; a relation of events which, upon the face of them, could never have happened ; characters grossly conceived and as coarsely represented ! Foote was even no mimic. He went out of himself, it is true, but without going into another man. He is excelled by Garrick even in this, which is considered as Foote's greatest excellence. Garrick, besides his exact imitation of the voice and gesture of his original to a degree of refinement of which Foote had no conception, exhibited the mind and mode of thinking of the person imitated. Besides, Garrick confined his powers within the limits of decency--he had a character to preserve ; Foote had none. By Foote's buffoonery and broadfaced merriment, private friendship, publick decency, and every thing estimable amongst men were trod under foot. We all know the difference of their reception in the world. No man, however high in rank, or literature, but was proud to know Garrick, and was glad to have him at his table ; no man ever considered or treated Garrick as a player : he may be said to have stepped out of his own rank, into an higher, and by raising himself, he raised the rank of his profession. At a convivial table his exhilarating powers were unrivalled. He was lively, entertaining, quick in discerning the ridicule of life, and as ready in representing it ; and on graver subjects there were few topicks in which he could not bear his part. It is injurious to the character of Garrick to be nam-

ed in the same breath with Foote. That Foote was admitted sometimes into good company (to do the man what credit I can) I will allow; but then it was merely to play tricks. Foote's merriment was that of a buffoon, and Garrick's that of a gentleman.

G. I have been told, on the contrary, that Garrick in company had not the easy manners of a gentleman.

J. Sir, I don't know what you may have been told, or what your ideas may be of the manners of gentlemen. Garrick had no vulgarity in his manners. It is true, Garrick had not the airiness of a fop; nor did he assume an affected indifference to what was passing. He did not lounge from the table to the window, and from thence to the fire; or whilst you were addressing your discourse to him, turn from you and talk to his next neighbour; or give any indication that he was tired of his company. If such manners form your ideas of a fine gentleman, Garrick had them not.

G. I mean that Garrick was more overawed by the presence of the great, and more obsequious to rank, than Foote, who considered himself as their equal, and treated them with the same familiarity as they treated each other.

J. He did so, and what did the fellow get by it? The grossness of his mind prevented him from seeing that this familiarity was merely suffered, as they would play with a dog. He got no ground by affecting to call peers by their surnames. The foolish fellow fancied that lowering them was raising himself to their level. This affectation of familiarity with the great, this childish ambition of momentary exaltation, obtained by the neglect of those ceremonies which custom has established as the barriers between one order of society and another, only shewed his folly and meanness. He did not see, that by encroaching on others dignity he put himself in their power; either to be repelled with helpless indignity, or endured by clemency and condescension. Garrick, by paying due respect to rank, respected himself. What he gave was returned, and what was returned was kept for ever. His advancement was on firm ground—he was recognized in publick, as well as respected in private; and as no man was ever more courted, and better received by the publick, so no man was ever less spoiled by its flattery. Garrick continued advancing to the last—till he had acquired every advantage that high birth or title could bestow

except the precedence of going into a room ; but when he was there, he was treated with as much attention as the first man at the table. It is to the credit of Garrick that he never laid any claim to this distinction. It was as voluntarily allowed as if it has been his birth right. In this, I confess, I looked upon David with some degree of envy; not so much for the respect he received, as for the manner of its being acquired. What fell into his lap unsought, I have been forced to claim :—I began the world by fighting my way. There was something about me that invited insult, or at least, a disposition to neglect ; and I was equally disposed to repel insult and to claim attention ; and I fear continue too much in this disposition now that it is no longer necessary. I receive at present as much favour as I have a right to expect. I am not one of the complainers of the neglect of merit.

G. Your pretensions, Dr. Johnson, nobody will dispute. I cannot place Garrick on the same footing. Your reputation will continue increasing after your death, when Garrick will be totally forgotten. You will be for ever considered as a classick.

J. Enough Sir, enough. The company would be better pleased to see us quarrel than bandying compliments.

G. But you must allow, Dr. Johnson, that Garrick was too much a slave to fame, or rather to the mean ambition of living with the great—terribly afraid of making himself cheap even with them ; by which he debarred himself of much pleasant society. Employing so much attention, and so much management upon little things, implies, I think, a little mind. It was observed by his friend Colman, that he never went into company but with a plot how to get out of it. He was every minute called out, and went off or returned, as there was or was not a probability of his shining.

J. In regard to his mean ambition, as you call it, of living with the great, what was the boast of Pope, and is every man's wish, can be no reproach to Garrick. He who says he despises it, knows he lies. That Garrick husbanded his fame, the fame which he had justly acquired both at the theatre and at the table, is not denied : but where is the blame either in the one case or the other, of leaving as little as he could to chance ? Besides, Sir, consider what you

have said. You first deny Garrick's pretensions to fame, and then accuse him of too great an attention to preserve what he never possessed.

G. I don't understand——

J. I can't help that.

G. Well, but Dr. Johnson, you will not vindicate him in his over and above attention to his fame ; his inordinate desire to exhibit himself to new men ; like a coquette ever seeking after conquests, to the total neglect of old friends and admirers.

“ He threw off his friends like a huntsman his pack,”—always looking out for new game.

J. When you quoted the line from Goldsmith, you ought in fairness to have given what followed. “ He knew when he pleas'd he could whistle them back.” which implies at least that he possessed a power over other men's minds approaching to fascination ; but, consider Sir, what is to be done. Here is a man whom every other man desires to know. Garrick could not receive and cultivate all, according to each man's conception of his own value. We are all apt enough to consider ourselves as possessing a right to be excepted from the common crowd. Besides, Sir, I do not see why that should be imputed to him as a crime, which we all so irresistably feel and practice. We all make a greater exertion in the presence of new men than of old acquaintances. It is undoubtedly true, that Garrick divided his attention among so many that but little was left to the share of any individual ; like the extension and dissipation of water into dew, there was not quantity united sufficient to quench any man's thirst ; but this is the inevitable state of things. Garrick, no more than another man, could unite what in their natures are incompatible.

G. But Garrick was not only excluded by this means from real friendship, but accused of treating those whom he called his friends with insincerity and double dealing.

J. Sir, it is not true. His character in that respect is misunderstood. Garrick was to be sure, very ready in promising ; but he intended at that time to fulfill his promise. He intended no deceit. His politeness, or his good nature, call it which you will, made him unwilling to deny. He wanted the courage to say no even to unreasonable demands. This was the great error of his life. By raising ex-

pectations which he did not, perhaps could not, gratify, he made many enemies; at the same time it must be remembered, that this error proceeded from the same cause which produced many of his virtues. Friendships from warmth of temper, too suddenly taken up, and too violent to continue, ended, as they were like to do, in disappointment. His friends became his enemies; and those having been fostered in his bosom, well knew his sensibility to reproach, and they took care that he should be amply supplied with such bitter portions as they were capable of administering. Their impotent efforts he ought to have despised; but he felt them; nor did he affect insensibility.

G. And that sensibility probably shortened his life.

J. No Sir, he died of a disorder of which you or any other man may die, without being killed by too much sensibility.

G. But you will allow, however, that this sensibility, those fine feelings, made him the great actor he was.

J. This is all cant; fit only for kitchen wenches and chamber maids. Garrick's trade was to represent passion; not to feel it. Ask Reynolds whether he felt the distress of Count Hugolino when he drew it.

G. But surely he feels the passion at the moment he is representing it.

J. About as much as Punch feels.—That Garrick himself gave into this foppery of feelings, I can easily believe; but he knew at the same time that he lied. He might think it right, as far as I know, to have, what fools imagined he ought to have; but it is amazing that any should be so ignorant as to think that an actor will risk his reputation by depending on the feelings that shall be excited in the presence of two hundred people, on the repetition of words that he has repeated two hundred times before in what actors call their study. No, Sir, Garrick left nothing to chance. Every gesture, every expression of countenance, and variety of voice, was settled in his closet before he set his foot upon the stage.

[We think every reader will admit that the preceding lively article is an original production of Sir J. Reynolds. There is such an intimate knowledge of the characters of Johnson and Garrick; so much good taste in imitating the manner of the former, without caricature, that it carries intrinsic marks of its being genuine.]